## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK SANEI CHARLOTTE RONSON LLC,

Plaintiff,

**DECLARATION IN SUPPORT** OF DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO **DISMISS** 

Civil Action No.: 07CV9578 (CM)

- against -

GMA ACCESSORIES, INC.,

erendant.	

ANDREW T. SWEENEY, hereby declares, under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, as follows:

- 1. I am an associate at The Bostany Law Firm, Defendant-Counterclaimant in this proceeding.
- 2. This declaration is submitted in support of defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint of the plaintiff.
- 3. There is presently an opposition proceeding taking place before the TTAB between the two parties with the defendant opposing the registration of Charlotte Ronson. Defendant recently filed a brief with the TTAB in opposition to the plaintiff's motion to suspend the TTAB proceedings. A copy is annexed as Exhibit A.
- 4. On November 29, 2006 GMA filed a motion to reconsider summary judgment in the TTAB based primarily on the fact that the TTAB was not comparing marks which adopted a registered mark in its entirety, i.e. Charlotte Ronson consumes

the mark CHARLOTTE. A copy of the motion to reargue is annexed as Exhibit

B.

5. In conjunction with actively policing infringement of its CHARLOTTE mark,

GMA commenced an action against sellers of "Charlotte Solnicki" goods. GMA

Accessories, Inc. v. Eminent, Inc., et. al., Civil Action No.: 07cv3219 (LTS). See

complaint annexed as Exhibit C.

6. Six of the defendants represented by the same firm representing SANEI, filed

answers with identical boilerplate counterclaims. A representative copy of the

counterclaims which contain the same "trademark misuse" and fraud claims that

SANEI makes here, is annexed as Exhibit D. GMA filed a motion to dismiss

these counterclaims on November 13, 2007. A copy of GMA's brief is

respectfully annexed as Exhibit E.

7. A copy each of the pertinent portions of Sanei's complaint and civil cover sheet in

this action are annexed as **Exhibits F and G** respectively.

8. In addition to filing 18 counterclaims, within days of each other, before different

tribunals and judges, SANEI's law firm filed a motion to vacate a default

judgment, a motion to intervene, a motion to suspend and the instant lawsuit.

For the reasons contained in the accompanying memorandum of law, we respectfully ask

that the complaint be dismissed.

Dated: New York, New York

November 19, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

ANDREW T. SWEENEY (AS-0724)